



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

mn

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,774	09/30/2003	Jeyhan Karaoguz	14826US02	6260
23446 7590 04/10/2007 MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661			EXAMINER PARTHASARATHY, PRAMILA	
			ART UNIT 2136	PAPER NUMBER
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE			MAIL DATE	
3 MONTHS			04/10/2007	
			DELIVERY MODE PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/675,774

Applicant(s)

KARAOGUZ ET AL.

Examiner

Pramila Parthasarathy

Art Unit

2136

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 February 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the communication 02/19/2004. NO preliminary amendments to the claims were filed. Claims 1 – 32 are currently pending.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 2 recites "The method according to Claim 2". A dependent claim can not be dependent on itself.

Appropriate correction is required.

Examiner reads as Claim 2 depending on Claim 1.

3. Claim 12 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 8 recites "...acquiring security code out-of-band". Claim 12 does not further limit the parent claim and recites "acquired security code is obtained out-of-band".

Examiner suggests canceling Claim 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2136

4. Claims 1 – 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anderson et al. (U.S. Patent 5,974,453).

5. As per Claims 1, 5 and 9, Anderson teaches, “acquiring a security code from a second communication device by a first communication device (Column 3 lines 20 – 28);

receiving media containing said security code from said first communication device (Column 3 lines 29 – 41);

translating said security code to an IP address corresponding to said second communication device (Column 4 lines 28 – 34); and

routing said received media to said second communication device based on said IP address of said second communication device, said IP address of said second communication device remaining anonymous to said first communication device.” (Column 4 lines 28 – 34 and Column 6 line 57 – Column 7 line 4).

6. As per Claims 14, 20 and 26, Anderson teaches, “receiving a security code from a first communication device desiring to communicate with a second communication device;

transferring security information associated with said second communication device to said first communication device (Column 7 lines 21 – 46);

receiving media along with at least a portion of said transferred security information from said first communication device (Column 7 lines 21 – 64); and

if said security information from said first communication device is valid, transferring said media from said first communication device to said second communication device.” (Column 7 lines 21 – 64).

7. As per Claims 2, 6, 10, 16, 22 and 28, Anderson teaches, “security code is a pin code” (Column 5 lines 25 – 47).

8. As per Claims 3, 7 and 11, Anderson teaches, “limiting a duration for which said security code is valid to at least one of time and a number of uses” (Column 4 lines 28 – 43).

9. As per Claims 4, 8, 12, 17, 23 and 29, Anderson teaches, “obtaining said acquired security code out-of-band” (Column 3 lines 29 – 41).

10. As per Claims 15, 21 and 27, Anderson teaches, “security information is a one-time certificate” (Column 7 lines 21 – 27).

11. As per Claims 18, 24 and 30, Anderson teaches, “temporarily storing said received media until said security information received from said first communication device is validated” (Column 4 lines 28 – 34).

12. As per Claims 19, 25 and 31, Anderson teaches, "translating said at least a portion of said transferred security information received from said first communication device into an IP address of said second device, said transferring of said media from said first communication device to said second communication device utilizing said IP address of said second device, said IP address of said second communication device remaining anonymous to said first communication device" (Column 4 lines 44 – 61).

13. As per Claims 13 and 32, Anderson teaches, "at least one processor is at least one of a server, a media exchange server and a proxy server" (Column 4 lines 11 – 27).

Conclusion

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Art Unit: 2136

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO Form 892.

Applicant is urged to consider the references. However, the references should be evaluated by what they suggest to one versed in the art, rather than by their specific disclosure. If applicants are aware of any better prior art than those are cited, they are required to bring the prior art to the attention of the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pramila Parthasarathy whose telephone number is 571-272-3866. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00a.m. To 5:00p.m.. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on 571-232-4195. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pramila Parthasarathy

April 01, 2007.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'P. Parthasarathy', written over the printed name.